Via email

March 20, 2020

The Honorable Alex Azar, Secretary
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
200 Independence Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20020

Dear Secretary Azar:

The undersigned members of the Consortium for Citizens with Disabilities (CCD) strongly condemn the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services’ (CMS’s) new policy inviting states to apply for block grants and per capita caps, as announced in its January 30, 2019 Dear State Medicaid Director Letter.

CCD is the largest coalition of national organizations working together to advocate for federal public policy that ensures the self-determination, independence, empowerment, integration and inclusion of children and adults with disabilities in all aspects of society.

CMS’s new policy will significantly harm adults and children with disabilities. Spending caps, such as block grants and per capita caps, are designed to produce huge federal funding cuts. Over time, caps do not cover states’ actual costs of running their Medicaid program, forcing states to cut benefits or eligibility to make up the difference. For example, in 2017, the Administration supported legislative block grant proposals would have cut Medicaid by nearly a trillion dollars over 10 years.¹

We strongly oppose CMS issuing and implementing this guidance at any
time. However, doing so when millions of low-income individuals and
people with disabilities are facing high risks and likely serious disruptions to
their lives and care would be even more unwise and unjustifiable.

All individuals on Medicaid would be impacted by cuts in funding and
coverage of this magnitude. There is simply no way to “carve out” people
with disabilities from these proposals, and no amount of administrative
machinations will protect people with disabilities from the harm. For
example, the 1115 block grant policy applies to "adults who qualify for
Medicaid on a basis other than disability or need for long-term care
services and supports and who are not covered under the state plan,
including … all individuals . . . described in the ‘adult group.’" This would
include millions of people with disabilities who have become eligible
through the Affordable Care Act’s Medicaid expansion. While specific
numbers are difficult to pin down, available data shows that well over one in
five Medicaid expansion adults report a disability or serious chronic
condition, including behavioral health conditions.\(^2\) In addition, millions of
family caregivers who care for a child or older adult with a disability and
hundreds of thousands of low wage direct care workers who serve people
with disabilities gained coverage through the Medicaid expansion.\(^3\) CMS’
spending caps would threaten or limit all these individuals’ coverage and
services, potentially destabilizing our long-term services and support
networks and access to these services.

Equally important, when states hit the budgetary shortfalls caused by caps
and are forced to make cuts in services, there is nothing to prevent states
from slashing Medicaid services for people with disabilities, especially

\(^2\) An Ohio survey of Medicaid expansion adults found that 39% reported at least one chronic
condition. OHIO DEPT. OF MEDICAID, OHIO MEDICAID GROUP VIII ASSESSMENT: A
REPORT TO THE OHIO GENERAL ASSEMBLY, 3 (2016). Over 21% had a claims history
consistent with a serious disability. OHIO MEDICAID ASSESSMENT SURVEY, The Changing
19, 2015), http://grc.osu.edu/sites/default/files/inline-
files/OMASSLIDEDECK_FINAL%281%29_0.pdf. In Pennsylvania, 18.8 percent of the
expansion population reported a mental health condition, and 11.5 percent a substance use
disorder. PENN. DEP’T HUMAN SERVS., Medicaid Expansion Report, 62 (Jan. 27, 2017),

\(^3\) Abby Marquand, PARAPROFESSIONAL HEALTHCARE INSTITUTE, Too Sick to Care:
Direct-Care Workers, Medicaid Expansion, and the Coverage Gap, 5 (July 2015),
https://phinational.org/research-reports/too-sick-care-direct-care-workers-medicaid-expansion-
and-coverage-gap.
those covered through the Medicaid expansion. Medicaid has been a key driver of innovations in cost-effective community-based care. Older adults and people with disabilities rely on Medicaid for nursing and personal care services, specialized therapies, intensive mental health services, special education services, and other needed services that are unavailable through private insurance. If states lose significant federal funding, all of these services are at risk.

In exchange for agreeing to implement a restrictive block grant, HHS has offered states numerous other waivers of key Medicaid beneficiary protections. For example, CMS will allow states to disregard Medicaid enrollee prohibitions of charging premiums and excessive cost-sharing to people living in poverty. States could also ask to implement a restrictive closed formulary that excludes many medications currently covered by Medicaid, instead providing coverage of only one drug per therapeutic class, or implement work requirements that would cause tens of thousands to lose coverage. States could opt-out of federal protections and regulations regarding Medicaid managed care, including requirements for network adequacy and adequate capitation rates that are designed to ensure access to Medicaid services. States could choose not to provide non-emergency medical transportation, depriving people whose disabilities prevent them from driving from reaching medical appointments. States could seek to opt out of federal protections to provide early and periodic screening, diagnosis, and treatment services for enrollees ages nineteen and twenty, undermining the commitment Congress made to the healthy development of our nation’s youth. States could end retroactive and presumptive eligibility, which ensure coverage for Medicaid-eligible individuals who are not yet enrolled. Such erosions of important Medicaid benefits and protections would restrict access to needed care and likely worsen health outcomes for millions of adults with Medicaid. And again, there is no way to effectively shield people with disabilities from these harmful waivers, because there is no way to effectively “carve out” this population.

All of these outcomes are inconsistent with the objectives of Medicaid. The primary objective of Medicaid is to furnish medical assistance to low-income individuals who otherwise can’t afford the costs of health care and, in the context of rehabilitation or long term services, to provide services needed to help them attain or retain capability for independence or self-care.
For decades, the disability community and numerous administrations have worked together to ensure that people with disabilities of all ages have access to home- and community-based services that allow them to live, work, go to school, and participate in their communities instead of passing their days in institutions. We ask that CMS recommit itself to these shared goals of coverage and community inclusion by supporting Medicaid, not attacking it. We remain ready and willing to work with you to do so.

Sincerely,
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